

THE AMERICAN BOARD OF FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY (ABFP)

Suggested Reading List for Written and Oral Examinations

October 2019

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT: PLEASE CONTACT DANIEL.KRAUSS@CLAREMONTMCKENNA.EDU

This reading list identifies resources to assist you as you progress through the ABFP certification process. At first glance, it appears daunting. However, this orientation to the reading list should make it seem less so. The literature in the field of forensic psychology is extensive, and nobody has read all of it.

This list is intended to serve two purposes. First, it contains references to key texts and seminal articles in the field that provide an overview of the major issues in forensic psychology. It also contains more extensive resources in a variety of areas of both criminal and civil law; those resources are provided for people who practice in those specific areas.

For any specific area, ABFP could develop a 20+ page bibliography, but that would be neither helpful nor practical. Instead, the list offers a sampling of some of the seminal works in each area. It is not exhaustive, and reasonable people could argue that some readings on the list are superfluous, or that other key readings are missing. It is up to each individual to determine what readings are key for a particular focus of practice.

Much of the list relies on just a few key texts, chapters of which appear in various places. Several books from the Oxford University Press are also recommended; these thin volumes provide concise coverage of a number of important topics. There is naturally some redundancy, but the list is intended to offer applicants a variety of sources from which to choose.

This reading list is organized by content area. The first section includes core resources relevant to the general practice of forensic psychology. Subsequent sections include resources relevant to specific forensic applications. In the specific forensic applications sections, “General” area readings are identified to assist candidates in attaining the level of familiarity expected for the written examination, and “Focus” area readings are identified to assist candidates in attaining the detailed knowledge expected for the oral examination when submitting a practice sample in that content area. Such distinctions may be less applicable to landmark cases insofar as they pertain to the legal basis of forensic practice and thus may be relevant to both written and oral examination preparation. Some works appear in more than one section. Most landmark legal cases are readily available on the Internet.

A few caveats are in order. First, review of the suggested readings does not constitute sufficient preparation for ABFP certification in the absence of appropriate academic and clinical training, supervision, and experience. Second, the inclusion or omission of any book, chapter, article, or legal case does not mean its contents will or will not be featured in a particular examination. Third, it is not expected that applicants will read every resource on this list; instead, a successful candidate exercises good judgment in sampling from the suggested readings based on his or her experiences. In sum, there are no explicit or implicit guarantees associated with the use of this suggested reading list.

Forensic Psychology – Fundamental Readings

Note: recommended readings in this section are applicable across practice sample content areas.

A. Forensic Psychology: Historical Foundation

Heilbrun, K., Grisso, T., & Goldstein, A. (2008). *Foundations of forensic mental health assessment*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Melton, G. B., Petrila, J., Poythress, N. G., Slobogin, C., Otto, R. K., Mossman, D., & Condie, L. O. (2018). Law and the mental health professions: An uneasy alliance. *Psychological evaluations for the courts: A handbook for mental health professionals and lawyers* (4th ed., pp. 3-24). New York, NY: Guilford Press. **(Hereinafter cited as Melton et al. (2018), chapter number, chapter name, and applicable page numbers)**

Otto, R., & Goldstein, A. (2013). Overview of forensic psychology. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 3-15). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

B. Ethics, Guidelines, Professional Issues and Duties

American Psychological Association. (2012). *Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychology*. Available online at www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/forensic-psychology

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. Available online at www.apa.org/ethics/code/ethics-code-2017.pdf

Bush, S. S., Connell, M. A., & Denney, R. L. (2019). *Ethical practice in forensic psychology: A guide for mental health professionals*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Bush, S. S., & Morgan, J. E. (2012). Ethical practice in forensic neuropsychology. In Bush, S. S., Rohling, M. L., & Demakis, G. J. (Eds.). *APA Handbook of Forensic Neuropsychology*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Otto, R. K., Goldstein, A. M., & Heilbrun, K. (2017). *Ethics in forensic psychology practice*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Committee on Legal Issues, American Psychological Association. (2006). Strategies for private practitioners coping with subpoenas or compelled testimony for client records or test data. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 37, 215-222.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 4: Constitutional, common-law, and ethical contours of the evaluation process: The mental health professional as double agent (pp. 66-98).

Landmark Cases

Commonwealth v. Kobrin, 395 Mass 1004, 479 N.E.2d 674 (1985)

Clites v. Iowa, 322 N.W. 2d 917 (Iowa Ct. App. 1982)

Doe v. Roe, 400 N.Y.Supp.2d 668 (1977)

Jaffee v. Redmond, 116 S.Ct. 1923 (1996)

In Re Lifschutz, 2 Cal. 3d 415, 467 P.2d 557 (1970)

Menendez v. Superior Court, 834 P.2d 786 (1992)

C. Law, Precedents, Court Rules, Civil and Criminal Procedure

Faust, D., Grimm, P. W., Ahern, D. C., & Sokolik, M. (2010). The admissibility of behavioral science evidence in the courtroom: The translation of legal to scientific concepts and back. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 49-77.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 2: An overview of the legal system: Sources of law, the court system, and the adjudicative process (pp. 25-41).

Landmark Cases

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 516 U.S. 869 (1993)

Frye v. U.S., 295 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923)

General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136 (1997)

Jenkins v. U.S., 307 F.2d. 637 (D.C. Cir. 1961)

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999)

D. Testing and Assessment, Judgment and Bias, Examination Issues

American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Research in Education. (2014). *Standards for educational and psychological testing* (3rd ed). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

Boone, K. B. (DeJesus-Zayas, S. R., Buigas, R., & Denney, R. L. (2012). Evaluation of culturally diverse populations. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with Psychiatric and Psychological Testimony* (6th ed., pp. 248-265). New York: Oxford University Press.

Faust, D. (2012). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony*. Section IV (6th ed., pp. 281-510). New York: Oxford University Press.

Faust, D., & Abern, D. C. (2012). Clinical judgment and prediction. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony* (6th ed., pp. 147-208). New York: Oxford University Press.

Frederick, R. (2012). Malingering, cooperation, effort. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony* (6th ed., pp. 229-247). New York: Oxford University Press.

Larrabee, G. L. (2012). Assessment of Malingering. In G. Larrabee (Ed.). *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (2nd Ed., pp. 116-159). New York: Oxford University Press.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 3: The nature and method of forensic assessment (pp. 42-65).

Murrie, D. (2009) Rater (dis)agreement on risk assessment measures in sexually violent predator proceedings: Evidence of adversarial allegiance in forensic evaluation? *Psychology Public Policy and Law*, 15, 19-53.

Neal, T., & Brodsky, S. L. (2016). Forensic psychologists' perceptions of bias and potential correction strategies in forensic mental health evaluations. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 22, 58-76.

Neal, T., & Grisso, T. (2014). The cognitive underpinnings of bias in forensic mental health evaluations. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 20, 200-211.

Rogers, R., & Bender, S. D. (2013). Evaluation of malingering and related response styles. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 517-540). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Selection of additional readings should be individualized based on the practice sample test battery and content area. Candidates should be prepared to discuss the empirical basis of their test selection. They should be familiar with the Forensic Assessment Instruments relevant to their content area, as well as the Clinical Assessment

Instruments most often relevant to evaluations in their content area. Recommended readings are the major texts, manuals, and recent review articles for all tests used.

Landmark Cases

Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 401 U.S. 424 (1971)

Hall v. Florida, 472 U.S. __ (2014)

United States v. Greer, 158 F.3d 228 (1998)

E. Communicating Forensic Opinions – Expert Testimony and Report Writing

DeMier, R. L. (2013). Forensic report writing. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 75-98). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Erickson, S. K., & Ewing, C. P. (2013). Legal contours of expert testimony. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 62-74). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Grisso, T. (2010). Guidance for improving forensic reports. *Open Access Journal for Forensic Psychology*, 2, 102-115.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 18: Communication, report writing, and expert testimony (pp. 575-606).

Otto, R.K., DeMier, R. L., and Boccaccini, M. T. (2014). *Forensic Reports and Testimony: A Guide to Effective Communication for Psychologists and Psychiatrists*. New York: Wiley.

Witt, P. (2010). Forensic report checklist. *Open Access Journal for Forensic Psychology*, 2, 233-240.

Landmark Cases

Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs, 113 Wn.2d 123 (1989)

Budwin v. American Psychological Association, 29 Cal. Rptr. 2d 453 (1994)

Deatherage v. Examining Board of Psychology, 948 P.2d 828 (Wash. 1997)

Murphy v. A. A. Mathews, 841 S.W.2d 671 (Mo. 1992)

Jenkins v. U.S., 307 F.2d. 637 (D.C. Cir. 1961)

Applications to Criminal Proceedings

A. Competence to Stand Trial

General Area Readings

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 6: Competence to stand trial (pp. 121-160).

Zapf, P. A., & Roesch, R. (2009). *Evaluation of competence to stand trial*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

Fogel, M. H., Schiffman, W., Mumley, D., Tillbrook, C., & Grisso, T. (2013). Ten year research update (2001-2010): Evaluations for competence to stand trial (adjudicative competence). *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 31, 165-191.

Frederick, R. I., DeMier, R. L., Smith, M. S., & Towers, K. D. (2014). *Examinations of competency to stand trial: Foundations in mental health case law* (2nd ed.). Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Grisso, T. (2014). *Competence to stand trial evaluations: Just the basics*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Grisso, T. (2003). *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed.). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Mallory, L. E., and Guyton, M. R. (2012). Competency to stand trial and criminal responsibility in forensic neuropsychology practice. In Bush, S. S., Rohling, M. L., & Demakis, G. J. (Eds.). *APA Handbook of Forensic Neuropsychology*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Poythress, N. G., Bonnie, R. J., Monahan, J., Otto, R., & Hoge, S. (2002). *Adjudicative competence: The MacArthur studies*. New York: Kluwer/Plenum.

Landmark Cases

Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985)

Cooper v. Oklahoma, 517 U.S. 348 (1996)

Drope v. Missouri, 420 U.S. 162 (1975)

Dusky v. U.S., 362 U.S. 402 (1960)

Estelle v. Smith, 451 U.S. 454 (1981)

Jackson v. Indiana, 406 U.S. 715 (1972)

Medina v. California, 505 U.S. 1244 (1992)

Pate v. Robinson, 383 U.S. 375 (1966)

Riggins v. Nevada, 524 U.S. 127 (1992)

Seiling v. Eyman, 478 F.2d 211 (9th Cir. 1973)

Sell v. United States, 539 U.S. 166 (2003)

U.S. v. Duhon, 104 F. Supp. 2d 663 (W.D.La, 2000)

Wilson v. U.S., 391 F.2d 460 (D.C. Cir. 1968)

B. Other Criminal Competencies (e.g., to waive rights/be sentenced/proceed pro se)

General Area Readings:

- Grisso, T. (2003). Advances in assessments for legal competencies. In *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed., pp. 1-20). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Grisso, T. (2003). Empirical assessments for legal competencies. In *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed., pp. 41-65). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 161-196).
- Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 9: Sentencing (pp. 260-312).

Focus Area Readings

- Drogin, E. Y. (2007). Postconviction assessment. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 385-417). New York: Wiley.
- Goldstein, A. M., & Goldstein, N. (2010). *Evaluating capacity to waive Miranda rights*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Kassin, S. M., Drizin, S. A., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G. H., Leo, R. A., & Redlich, A. D. (2009). Police-induced confessions: Risk factors and recommendations. *Law and Human Behavior, 34*, 3-38.
- Rogers, R., & Drogin, E.Y. (2019). *Conducting Miranda evaluations: Applications of psychological expertise and science within the forensic context*. New York, NY: Springer.

Landmark Cases

- Berghuis v. Thompkins, 130 S. Ct. 2250 (2010)
- Brown v. Mississippi, 297 U.S. 278 (1936)
- Colorado v. Connelly, 497 U.S. 157 (1986)
- Crane v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 683 (1986)
- Dickerson v. United States, 530 U.S. 428 (2000)
- Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 (1964)
- Fare v. Michael C., 442 U.S. 707 (1979)
- Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975)
- Fellers v. United States, 540 U.S. 519 (2004)
- G.J.I. v. State 778 P.2d 485 (1989)
- Godinez v. Moran, 509 U.S. 389 (1993)
- Indiana v. Edwards, 554 U.S. 164 (2008)
- In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967)
- J.D.B. v. North Carolina, 131 S. Ct. 2394 (2011)
- Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)
- North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970)
- U.S. v. Marble, 940 F.2d 1543 (D.C. Dir. 1991)
- Whalem v. U.S., 346 F2d 812 (1965)

C. Criminal Responsibility (including Diminished Capacity and *Mens Rea* Defenses)

General Area Readings

- Goldstein, A. M., Morse, S. J., & Packer, I. K. (2013). Evaluation of Criminal Responsibility. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 440-472). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 8: Mental state at the time of the offense (pp. 197-259).
- Morse, S. J. (2007). The non-problem of free will in forensic psychiatry and psychology. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 27, 203-220
- Packer, I. K. (2009). *Evaluation of criminal responsibility*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

- American Law Institute, Model Penal Code §4.01 (1962)
- Clark, C. R. (2014). Specific intent and diminished capacity (pp. 353-381). In I. B. Weiner & R. K Otto (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Frederick, R. I., Mrad, D. F., & DeMier, R. L. (2007). *Examinations of criminal responsibility: Foundations in mental health law*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.
- Insanity Defense Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. §17 (1984)
- Mallory, L. E., and Guyton, M. R. (2012). Competency to stand trial and criminal responsibility in forensic neuropsychology practice. In Bush, S. S., Rohling, M. L., & Demakis, G. J. (Eds.). *APA Handbook of Forensic Neuropsychology*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Morse, S. J. (1979). Diminished capacity: A moral and legal conundrum. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 2, 271-298.
- Slobogin, C. (2006). *Proving the unprovable: The role of law, science and speculation in adjudicating culpability and dangerousness*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

- Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985)
- Clark v. Arizona, 548 U.S. 735 (2006)
- Durham v. U.S., 214 F.2d 862 (D.C. Cir. 1954)
- Edney v. Smith, 425 F. Supp. 1038 (1976)
- Foucha v. Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71 (1992)
- Friendak v. U.S., 408 A.2d 364 (D.C. Cir. 1979)
- Ibn-Tamas v. U.S., 407 A.2d 626 (D.C. Cir. 1979)
- Jones v. U.S., 463 U.S. 354 (1983)
- Kansas v. Cheever 571 U.S. _ (2013)
- McDonald v. U.S., 312 F.2d 847 (1962)
- Montana v. Egelhoff, 518 U.S. 37 (1996)
- M’Naghten’s Case, 10 Cl.&F. 200, 8 Eng. Rep. 718 (H.L. 1843)
- People v. Patterson, 39 N.Y.2d 288, 347 N.E.2d 898 (1976)
- People v. Saille, 820 P.2d 588 (1991)

Powell v. Texas, 492 U.S. 689 (1989)
Rex v. Arnold (1724)
U.S. v. Brawner, 471 F.2d 969 (D.C. Cir. 1972)
Washington v. U.S., 129 U.S. App. D.C. 29, 390 F.2d 444 (1967)

D. Death Penalty

General Area Readings

Cunningham, M. (2010). *Evaluation for capital sentencing*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Cunningham, M. D., & Goldstein, A. M. (2013). Sentencing determinations in death penalty cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 473-514). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 161-196).

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 9: Sentencing (pp. 260-314).

Focus Area Readings

Cunningham, M. D. (2013). Evaluations at capital sentencing. In R. Roesch and P. Zapf (Eds.) *Forensic assessments in criminal and civil law: A handbook for lawyers* (pp.103-117). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Cunningham, M., Reidy, T., & Sorensen, J. R. (2016). Wasted resources and gratuitous suffering: The failure of a security rationale for death row. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 22, 185-199.

Cunningham, M. D., & Tassé, M. J. (2010). Looking to science rather than convention in adjusting IQ scores when death is at issue. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 41(5), 413-419.

DeMatteo, D., Murrie, D. C., Anumba, N. M., & Keesler, M. E. (2011). *Forensic mental health assessments in death penalty cases*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Hagen, L., Drogin, E., & Guilmette, T. (2010). IQ scores should not be adjusted for the Flynn Effect in capital punishment cases. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 41(5), 420-423.

Macvaugh, G. & Cunningham, M. D., (2009). *Atkins v. Virginia: Implications and recommendations for forensic practice*. *Journal of Psychiatry and the Law*, 37, 131-187.

Landmark Cases

Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002)
Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880 (1983)
Estelle v. Smith, 451 U.S. 454 (1981)
Ford v. Wainwright, 477 U.S. 399 (1986)
Furman v. Georgia, 408 U.S. 238 (1972)
Gregg v. Georgia, 428 U.S. 153 (1976)
Hall v. Florida, 472 U.S. _ (2014)
Kansas v. Cheever, 571 U.S. _ (2013)
Lawlor v. Zook (4th Cir. 2018)
Lockett v. Ohio, 438 U.S. 586, 604 (1978)
Madison v. Alabama, 586 U.S._ (2019)
Moore v. Texas, 581 U.S. _ (2017)

Panetti v. Quarterman, 127 S.Ct. 2842 (2007)
Payne v. Tennessee, 501 U.S. 808 (1991)
Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)
Singleton v. Norris, 319 F.3d 1018 (8th Cir. 2003)
Strickland v Washington 466 U.S. 668 (1984)
State v. Perry, 610 So.2d 746 (La. 1992)
Woodson v. North Carolina, 438 US 302 (1976)

E. Violence Risk Assessment and Quasi-Criminal Commitment

General Area Readings

Conroy, M. A., & Murrie, D. C. (2007). *Forensic assessment of violence risk: A guide for risk assessment and risk management*. New York: Wiley.

Monahan, J. (2013). Violence risk assessment. In R. K. Otto (Ed.). *Forensic psychology* (pp. 541-555). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Heilbrun, K. (2009). *Evaluation for risk of violence in adults*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Monahan et al. (2001). *Rethinking risk assessment: The MacArthur study of mental disorder and violence*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Otto, R. K., & Douglas, K. S. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of violence risk assessment*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

Swanson, J. W., Holzer, C. E., III, Ganju, V. K., & Jono, R. T. (1990). Violence and psychiatric disorder in the community: evidence from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area surveys. *Hospital & Community Psychiatry*, 41(7), 761-770.

Landmark Cases

Coble v. Texas, 330 S.W.3d 254 (2010)
Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880 (1983)
Kansas v. Crane, 534 U.S. 407 (2002)
Kansas v. Hendricks, 521 U.S. 346 (1997)
Lipari v. Sears Roebuck, 497 F.Supp. 185 (1980)
Brady v. Hopper, 570 F.Supp. 1333 (1983)
Hedlund v. Sup. Court of Orange County, 669 P.2d. 41 (1983)
Jablonski v. U.S., 712 F.2d 391 (9th Cir. 1983)
Tarasoff v. Board of Regents of the Univ. of California, 17 Cal. 3d 415, 551 P.2d 334, 131 Cal. Rptr. 14 (1976)

E. Sex Offender Assessment

General Area Readings

Conroy, M.A., & Witt, P. H. (2013). Evaluation and management of sexual offenders. In R. K. Otto (Ed.). *Forensic psychology* (pp. 332-356). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Phenix & H. M. Hoberman (Eds.). (2016). *Sexual offending: Predisposing antecedents, assessments and management*. New York: Springer.

Witt, P., & Conroy, M. A. (2008). *Evaluation of sexually violent predators*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

Boccaccini, M. T., Chevalier, C. S., Murrie, D. C., & Varela, J. G. (2017). Psychopathy Checklist—Revised use and reporting practices in sexually violent predator evaluations. *Sexual Abuse: Journal of Research and Treatment, 29*(6), 592-614.

Brankley, A. E., Babchishin, K. M., & Hanson, R. K. (2019). STABLE-2007 demonstrates predictive and incremental validity in assessing risk-relevant propensities for sexual offending: A meta-analysis. *Sexual Abuse*.

Chevalier, C. S., Boccaccini, M. T., Murrie, D. C., & Varela, J. G. (2015). Static-99R reporting practices in sexually violent predator cases: Does norm selection reflect adversarial allegiance? *Law and Human Behavior, 39*(3), 209-218.

Kelley, S. M., Ambroziak, G., Thornton, D., & Barahal, R. (2018). How Do Professionals Assess Sexual Recidivism Risk? An Updated Survey of Practices. *Sexual Abuse, 1*–27.

Kingston, D. E., Olver, M. E., Harris, M., Wong, S. C. P., & Bradford, J. M. (2015). The relationship between mental disorder and recidivism in sexual offenders. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 14* (1), 10-22.

Mann, R. E., Hanson, R. K., & Thornton, D. (2010). Assessing risk for sexual recidivism: Some proposals on the nature of psychologically meaningful risk factors. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 22* (2), 191-217.

Thornton, D., & D'Orazio, D. (2016). Advancing the evolution of sexual offender risk assessment: The relevance of psychological risk factors. In D. P. Boer (Ed.). *The Wiley Handbook on the Theories, Assessment, and Treatment of Sexual Offending*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Weinberger, L.E., Sreenivasan, S., Azizian, A., & Garrick, T. (2018). Linking mental disorder and risk in sexually violent person assessments. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry & Law, 46*, 63–70.

Landmark Cases

Kansas v. Hendricks, 521 U.S. 346 (1997)

Kansas v. Crane, 534 U.S. 407 (2002)

United States v. Tom, 565 F.3d 497 (8th Cir. 2009)

United States v. Comstock, 560 U.S. 126 (2010)

F. Threat Assessment

General Area Readings

Association of Threat Assessment Professionals. (2006). *Risk assessment guideline elements for violence*. California: Author.

Meloy, J. R., Hart, S. D., & Hoffman, J. (2014). Threat Assessment and Threat Management. In Meloy, J. R. & Hoffman, J. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Threat Assessment* (pp. 3-17). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

- Cunningham, M. D., (2018). Differentiating Delusional Disorder From the Radicalization of Extreme Beliefs: A 17-Factor Model. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 5, 137–154.
- Geck, C. M., Grimbos, T., Siu, M., Klassen, P. E., and Seto, M. C. (2017). Violence at Work: An Examination of Aggressive, Violent, and Repeatedly Violent Employees. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 4, 210-229.
- Gerbrandij, J., Rosenfeld, B., and Nijdam-Jones, A. (2018). Evaluating Risk Assessment Instruments for Intimate Partner Stalking and Intimate Partner Violence. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 5, 103-118.
- McEwan, T. E., Pathé, M., & Ogloff, J. R. P. (2011). Advances in stalking risk assessment. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 29, 180-201.
- Meloy, J. R. (2012). Predatory Violence and Psychopathy. In Hakkanen-Nyholm, H. & Nyholm, J., (Eds.), *Psychopathy and Law*. London: Wiley and Sons.
- Meloy, J. R., Goodwill, A. M., Meloy, M. J., Amat, G., Martinez, M., and Morgan, M. (2019). Some TRAP-18 Indicators Discriminate Between Terrorist Attackers and Other Subjects of National Security Concern. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 6, 93–110.
- Meloy, J. R., Hoffman, J., Roshdi, K., Glaz-Ocik, J., & Guldemann, A. (2014). Warning Behaviors and Their Configurations Across Various Domains of Targeted Violence. In Meloy, J. R. & Hoffman, J. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Threat Assessment* (pp. 39-63). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Van Brunt, B. (2013). A Comparative Analysis of Threat and Risk Assessment Measures. *The Journal of Campus Behavioral Intervention*, 1, 111-155.
- White, S. G., Meloy, J. R., Mohandie, K., & Kienlen, K. (2017). Autism Spectrum Disorder and Violence: Threat Assessment Issues. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 4, 144-163.

Landmark Cases

- Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District, 393 U.S. 503 (1969)
New Jersey v. T.L.O., 469 U.S. 325 (1985)
Schenck v. United States (1919)
Watts v. United States (1969)
Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser, 478 U.S. 675, 682 (1986)
Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier, 484 U.S. 260 (1988)
Brandenburg v. Ohio (1969)

Applications to Civil Proceedings

A. Civil Commitment & Civil Competencies

(See also sections entitled, "Violence Risk Assessment and Quasi-Criminal Commitment" and "Sex Offender Assessment.")

General Area Readings

Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2013). Civil competencies. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 295-307). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 10: Civil commitment (pp. 315-354).

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 11: Civil competencies (pp. 355-387).

Pinals, D., & Mossman, D. (2011). *Evaluation for civil commitment*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

American Bar Association Commission on Law and Aging, & the American Psychological Association. (2008). *Assessment of older adults with diminished capacity: A handbook for psychologists*. Washington, DC: American Bar Association and American Psychological Association. Available online at www.apa.org/pi/aging/programs/assessment/capacity-psychologist-handbook.pdf

Christopher, P., Pinals, D., T. Stayton, K., & Blumberg, L. (2015). Nature and Utilization of Civil Commitment for Substance Abuse in the United States. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law Online*, 43(3) 313-320.

Demakis G. J. (2012). Adult guardianship. In G. Demakis (Ed.). *Civil capacities in clinical neuropsychology: Research applications and practical applications* (pp. 163-184). New York: Oxford University Press.

Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2010). *Evaluation for guardianship*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Kim, S. Y. (2010). *Evaluation of capacity to consent to treatment and research*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Lareau, C. (2013). Civil commitment and involuntary hospitalization of the mentally ill. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 308-331). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Wood, S. & O'Bryan, M. (2012). Assessment of civil capacities: An evaluative framework and practical recommendations. In G. Demakis (Ed.). *Civil capacities in clinical neuropsychology: Research applications and practical applications* (pp. 185-205). New York: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

Addington v. Texas, 441 U.S. 418 (1979)

Baxstrom v. Herold, 383 U.S. 107 (1966)

Caesar v. Mountanos, 542 F. 2d 1064 (9th Cir. 1976)

Canterbury v. Spence, 464 F.2d 772 (1972)

Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976)

Foucha v. Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71 (1992)

Heller v. Doe, 509 U.S. 312 (1993)

Jones v. U.S., 463 U.S. 354 (1983)
Lake v. Cameron, 364 F.2d 657 (1966)
Lessard v. Schmidt, 349 F.Supp. 1078 (E.D. Wis. 1972)
O'Connor v. Donaldson, 422 U.S. 563 (1975)
Parham v. JR, 442 U.S. 584 (1979)
Rennie v. Klein, 653 F.2d 836 (3rd Cir. 1981)
Rennie v. Klein, 720 F.2d 266 (1983)
Rogers v. Okin, 643 F.2d 650 (1980)
Youngberg v. Romeo, 457 U.S. 307 (1982)
U.S. v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987)
Washington v. Harper, 494 U.S. 210 (1990)
Wyatt v. Stickney, 344 F. Supp. 387 (M.D. Ala. 1972)
Zinermon v. Burch, 494 U.S. 113 (1990)

B. Personal Injury and Civil Damages

General Area Readings

Foote, W. E., & Lareau, C. R. (2013). Psychological evaluation of emotional damages in tort cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 172-200). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Kane, A. W., & Dvoskin, J. A. (2011). *Evaluation for personal injury claims*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

Greve, K. W., Bianchini, K. J., & Ord, J. S. (2012). The psychological assessment of persons with chronic pain. In G. Larrabee (Ed.). *Forensic Neuropsychology: A scientific approach* (2nd Ed., pp. 302-335). New York: Oxford University Press.

Koch, W. J., Douglas, K. S., Nicholls, T. L., & O'Neill, M. L. (2006). *Psychological injuries: Forensic assessment, treatment, and law*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Young, G., Kane, A. W., & Nicholson, K. (2007). *Causality of psychological injury: Presenting evidence in court*. New York, NY: Springer.

Landmark Cases

Bragdon v. Abbott, 524 U.S. 624 (1998)
Carter v. General Motors, 106 N.W.2d 105 (1960)
Christie Bros. Circus v. Turnage, 144 S.E. 680 (Ga. App. 1928)
Dillon v. Legg, 441 P.2d 912 (1968)
Gough v. Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, 996 F.2d 763 (5th Cir. 1993)
Molien v. Kaiser Foundation Hospital, 616 P.2d 813 (1980)
Molzof v. United States, 911 F.2d, 18 (7th Cir. 1990), *rev'd*, 112 S.Ct. 711 (1992)
Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R., 162 N.E. 99 (N.Y. 1928)
Plaisance v. Texaco, Inc., 735 F.Supp. 686 (E.D.La.1990), 937 F.2d 1004 (5th Cir. 1991)
TXO Products v. Alliance Resources, 509 U.S. 443 (1993)
Waube v. Warrington, 258 N.W. 497 (1935)

C. Worker's Compensation/Disability Evaluation

General Area Readings

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 12: Compensating mental injury: Workman's compensation and torts (pp. 388-411).

Vore, D. A. (2007). The disability psychological independent medical evaluation. In A. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 489–510). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Piechowski, L. (2013). Disability and worker's compensation. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 201-224). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

American Psychological Association (2017) Professional Practice Guidelines for Occupationally Mandated Psychological Evaluations.

Corey, D., & Borum, R. (2013). Forensic assessment for high-risk occupations. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 246-270). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Gold, L. H., & Shuman, D. W. (2009). *Evaluating mental health disability in the workplace*. New York: Springer.

Heilbronner, R. L., & Bush, S. S. (2012). Neuropsychological evaluations in disability determinations. In Bush, S. S., Rohling, M. L., & Demakis, G. J. (Eds.). *APA Handbook of Forensic Neuropsychology*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Piechowski, L. D. (2011). *Evaluation of workplace disability*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Piechowski, L. D., & Drukteinis, A. M. (2011). Fitness for duty evaluations. In E. Y. Drogin & T. Gutheil (Eds.), *Handbook of Forensic Assessment* (pp. 571-591). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

Biestek v. Berryhill, 587 U.S. ____ (2019)

Black & Decker Disability Plan v. Nord, 538 U.S. 822 (2003)

Cleveland v Policy Management Systems Corporation, 526 U.S. 795 (1999)

Damascus v. Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company, 935 F. Supp. 885 (N.D. Cal. 1996)

Ervin v. American Guardian Life Assurance Company, 545 A.2d 354 (Pa. Super. 1988)

Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Ouellette, 159 Vt. 187, 617 A. 2d 132 (1992)

Ryans v. Lowell, 484 A.2d 1253 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 1984)

D. Discrimination (ADA) & Educational Access (IDEA)

General Area Readings

Foote, W. E. (2013). Forensic evaluation in Americans with Disabilities Act cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 271-294). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 13: Federal antidiscrimination and entitlement laws (pp. 412-453).

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 17: Education and habilitation (pp. 556-574).

Focus Area Readings

Goodman-DeLaHunty, J., & Foote, W. E. (2011). *Evaluation for workplace discrimination and harassment*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Baker, N. L., Vasquez, M. J. T., & Shullman, S. L. (2013). Assessing employment discrimination and harassment. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 224-245). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Piechowski, L. D., & Rehman, U. (2011). Evaluations in Americans with Disabilities Act cases. In E. Y. Drogin & T. Gutheil (Eds.), *Handbook of Forensic Assessment*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth, 524 U.S. 742 (1998)

Ellison v. Brady, 924 F.2d 872 (9th Cir. 1991)

Harris v. Forklift Systems, 510 U.S. 17 (1993)

Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 477 U.S. 57 (1986)

Olmstead v. L.C. ex rel Zimring, 527 U.S. 581 (1999)

Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc., 523 U.S. 75 (1998)

Ricci v. DeStefano, 129 S. Ct. 2658 (2009)

Applications to Special Populations: Juvenile/Child

A. Child Custody and Parenting

General Area Readings

Gould, J. W., & Martindale, D. A. (2013). Child custody evaluations: Current literature and practical applications. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 101-138). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 16: Child custody in divorce (pp. 530-555).

Focus Area Readings

American Psychological Association. (2011). Guidelines for psychological evaluations in child protection matters. *American Psychologist*. Available online at www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/child-protection

American Psychological Association. (2010). Guidelines for child custody evaluations in family law proceedings. *American Psychologist*, 65, 863–867. Available online www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/child-custody

American Psychological Association. (2012). Guidelines for the practice of parenting coordination. *American Psychologist*, 67, 63-71. Available online at www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/parenting-coordination

Gould, J. W., & Martindale, D. A. (2007). *The art and science of child custody evaluations*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Fuhrmann, G. S. W., & Zibbell, R. A. (2012). *Evaluation for child custody*. New York: Oxford.

Tippins, T. M., & Wittman, J. P. (2005). Empirical and ethical problems with custody recommendations: A call for clinical humility and judicial vigilance. *Family Court Review*, 43, 193–22.

Landmark Cases

Baltimore City Department of Social Services v. Bouknight, 493 U.S. 549 (1990)

Chapsky v. Wood, 26 Kan. 650, 42 (1881)

DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services, 489 U.S. 189 (1989)

Idaho v. Wright, 497 U.S. 805 (1990)

Lassiter v. Department of Social Services, 452 U.S. 18 (1981)

Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1817 (1967)

Maryland v. Craig, 497 U.S. 836 (1990)

Painter v. Bannister, 358 Iowa 1390, 140 N.W. 2d. 152 (1966)

Palmore v. Sidoti, 466 U.S. 429 (1984)

Pennsylvania v. Richie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987)

Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925)

Prince v. Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158 (1944)

Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)

Tuter v. Tuter, 120 S.W.2d. 203 (1938)

White v. Illinois, 502 U.S. 346 (1992)

B. Child Abuse/Neglect

General Area Readings

Condie, L. O. & Condie, D. (2007). Termination of parental rights. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 294-330). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Budd, K. S., Connell, M., & Clark, J. R. (2013). Assessment in a child protection context. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 139-171). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 15: Child abuse and neglect (pp. 486-529).

Focus Area Readings

American Psychological Association. (2011). Guidelines for psychological evaluations in child protection matters. *American Psychologist*. Available online at www.apa.org/practice/guidelines/child-protection

Budd, K. S., Clark, J., & Connell, M. (2011). *Evaluating parenting capacity in child protection*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Brubacher, SP, Peterson, C, La Rooy, D, Dickinson, JJ, & Poole, DA (2019). How children talk about events: Implications for eliciting and analyzing eyewitness reports. *Developmental Review, 51, 70-89*.

Kuenhle, K., & Connell, M. (2013). Child sexual abuse evaluations. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 579-614). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Kuehnle, K., & Connell, M. (Eds.). (2009). *The evaluation of child sexual abuse allegations: A comprehensive guide to assessment and testimony*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons

Lamb, M.E, Brown, D.A., Hershkowitz, I., Orbach, Y., & Esplin, P.W. (2018). *Tell Me What Happened: Questioning Children About Abuse* (Second Edition). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Hoboken, NJ.

London, K., Bruck, M., Wright, D. B., & Ceci, S. J. (2008). Review of the contemporary literature on how children report sexual abuse to others: Findings, methodological issues, and implications for forensic interviewers. *Memory, 16, 29-47*.

Landmark Cases

DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services, 489 U.S. 189 (1989)

Dupuy v. Samuels, 397 F. 3d 493 (7th Cir. 2005)

Kentucky v. Stincer, 482 U.S. 730 (1987)

Landeros v. Flood, 17 Cal. 3d 399, 551 P.2d 389 (1976)

People v. Stritzinger, 34 Cal. 3d 505, 668 P.2d 738 (1983)

Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)

State v. Andring, 342 N.W.2d 128 (Minn. 1984)

C. Juvenile Justice

General Area Readings

Grisso, T., & Romaine, C. R. (2013). Forensic evaluation in delinquency cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 359-380). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 14: Juvenile Delinquency (pp. 457-485).

Focus Area Readings

Borum, R., & Verhagen, D. (2006). *Assessing and managing violence risk in juveniles*. New York: Guilford Press.

Caldwell, M. (2016). Quantifying the decline in juvenile sexual recidivism rates. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 22, 414-426.

Grisso, T. (2013). *Forensic evaluation of juveniles* (2nd ed.). Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Grisso, T., Kavanaugh, A. (2016). *Prospects for developmental evidence in juvenile sentencing based on Miller v. Alabama* (pp. 235-249).

Heilbrun, K., DeMatteo, D., King, C., & Filone, S. (2017). *Evaluating juvenile transfer and disposition: Law, Science, and Practice*. New York: Routledge.

Hoge, R., & Andrews, D. A. (2010). *Evaluation for violence risk in juveniles*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Kruh, I., & Grisso, T. (2008). *Evaluation of juveniles' competence to stand trial*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Ryan, E. P., Hunter, J. A., & Murrie, D. C. (2012). *Juvenile sex offenders: A guide to evaluation and treatment for mental health professionals*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Salekin, R. (2015). *Forensic evaluation and treatment of juveniles: Innovation and best practices*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Landmark Cases

Breed v. Jones, 421 U.S. 519 (1975)

Fare v. Michael C., 442 U.S. 707 (1979)

G.J.I. v. State 778 P.2d 485 (1989)

Graham v. Florida, 130 S. Ct. 2011 (2010)

In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967)

In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358 (1970)

J.D.B. v. North Carolina, 131 S. Ct. 2394 (2011)

Kent v. United States, 383 U.S. 541 (1966)

McKeiver v. Pennsylvania, 403 U.S. 528 (1971)

Miller v. Alabama, 132 S.Ct. 2455 (2012)

Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)

Schall v. Martin, 467 U.S. 253 (1984)

Thompson v. Oklahoma, 487 U.S. 815 (1988)

Other Forensic Topics

A. Police Psychology

General Area Readings

- Corey, C. M., & Borum, R. (2013). Forensic assessment for high-risk occupations. In R. K. (Ed.), *Forensic psychology*. Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 246-270). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Scrivner, E. (2006). Psychology and law enforcement (pp. 534-551). In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

B. Juries

General Area Readings

- Kovera, M. B. (2013). Voir dire and jury selection. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 630-647). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2013). Trial Consultation. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 648-664). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

- Bornstein, B. H., & Greene (2017). *The jury under fire: Myth, controversy, & reform*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Devine, D. (2012). *Jury decision-making: The state of the science*. New York: NYU Press.
- Feigenson, N. (2001). *Legal blame: How jurors think and talk about accidents*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Findley, J. D., & Sales, B. D. (2012). *The science of attorney advocacy: How courtroom behavior affects jury decision making*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Groscup, J., & Tallon, J. (2009). Theoretical models of jury decision-making. In J. D. Lieberman & D. A. Krauss (Eds.), *Jury psychology: Social aspects of trial processes: Psychology in the courtroom* (Vol. 1, pp. 41-65). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing Co.
- Kovera, M.B. (2017). *The psychology of juries: Current knowledge and a research agenda for the future*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association Press
- Lieberman, J. D., & Olson, J. (2009). The psychology of jury selection. In J. D. Lieberman & D. A. Krauss (Eds.), *Jury psychology: Social aspects of trial processes: Psychology in the courtroom* (Vol. 1, pp. 97-128.). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing Co.

C. Witness challenges

General Area Readings

- Cutler, B., & Kovera, M. B. (2010). *Evaluating eyewitness evidence*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 161-196).
- Schefflin, A. W. (2006). Forensic use of hypnosis. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 589-630). New York: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)
- Wells, G. L., & Loftus, E. F. (2013). Eyewitness memory for people and events. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 617-629). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

- Bruck, M., & Ceci, S. J. (2012). Forensic developmental psychology in the courtroom. In D. Faust (Ed.), *Coping with psychological and psychiatric testimony* (6th ed., pp. 723-736). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ceci, S. J., & Bruck, M. (1995). *Jeopardy in the courtroom*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Poole, D. A., & Lamb, M. E. (1998). *Investigative interviews of children*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Yuille, J. C., & Cooper, B. S. (2012). Challenging the eyewitness expert. In D. Faust (Ed.), *Coping with psychological and psychiatric testimony* (6th ed., pp. 685-695). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

- Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004)
- Neil v. Biggers, 409 U.S. 188 (1972)
- People v. Shirley, 723 P.2d 1354 (1982)
- Perry v. New Hampshire, 132 S.Ct. 716 (2012)
- State v. Henderson, 27 A.3d 872 (N.J. 2011)
- State v. Hurd, 414 A.2d 291 (1980)
- Rock v. Arkansas, 483 U.S. 44 (1987)
- White v. Illinois, 502 U.S. 346 (1992)

D. Treatment in Forensic Contexts

General Area Readings

- Dvoskin, J. A., Spiers, E. M., & Brodsky, S. L. (2007). Correctional psychology: Law, ethics, and practice. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 605-632). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- International Association for Correctional and Forensic Psychology (2010). Standards for psychology services in jails, prisons, correctional facilities, and agencies (Third Edition). *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 37(7), 749-808.
- Mobley, M. J. (2006). Psychotherapy with criminal offenders. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 751-789). New York, NY: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

Focus Area Readings

Dvoskin, J. A., Skeem, J. L., Novaco, R. W., & Douglas, K. S. (2012). What if psychology redesigned the criminal justice system? In J. A. Dvoskin, J. L. Skeem, R. W. Novaco, & K. S. Douglas (Eds.), *Using social science to reduce violent offending* (pp. 291-302). New York: Oxford University Press.

Marshall, W. L. (2006). Diagnosis and treatment of sex offenders. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 790-820). New York: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

Melton et al. (2018). Chapter 5: Managing public and private forensic services (pp. 99-118).

Salekin, R. T., Worley, C., & Grimes, R. D. (2010). Treatment of psychopathy: A review and brief introduction to the mental model approach for psychopathy. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 28(2), 235-266.

Landmark Cases

Baxstrom v. Herold, 383 U.S. 107 (1966)

Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976)

Vitek v. Jones, 445 U.S. 480, 100 S.Ct. 1254 (1980)

Farmer v. Brennan, 114 S.Ct. 1970 (1994)