

THE AMERICAN BOARD OF FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY (ABFP)

Suggested Reading List for Written and Oral Examinations

June 2017

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT: PLEASE CONTACT RICKDEMIERPHD@GMAIL.COM

This reading list identifies resources to assist you as you progress through the ABFP certification process; however, the following caveats should be considered. First, review of the suggested readings does not constitute sufficient preparation for ABFP certification in the absence of appropriate academic and clinical training, supervision, and experience. Second, the inclusion or omission of any book, chapter, article, or legal case does not mean its contents will or will not be featured in a particular examination. Third, it may not be necessary to read every resource on this list; more often, a successful candidate exercises good judgment in sampling from the suggested readings based on his or her experiences. In sum, there are no explicit or implicit guarantees associated with the use of this suggested reading list.

This reading list is organized by content area. The first section includes core resources relevant to the general practice of forensic psychology, whereas subsequent sections include resources relevant to specific forensic applications. In the specific forensic applications sections, “General” area readings are identified to assist candidates in attaining the level of familiarity expected for the written examination, and “Focus” area readings are identified to assist candidates in attaining the detailed knowledge expected for the oral examination when submitting a practice sample in that content area. Such distinctions may be less applicable to landmark cases insofar as they pertain to the legal basis of forensic practice and thus may be relevant to both written and oral examination preparation. An asterisk (*) indicates a reading or case is relevant to multiple content areas; however, this does not necessarily imply the reading or case is more important than those listed just once.

Most landmark cases are readily available on the Internet. For your convenience and to facilitate your preparation for board certification, the American Academy of Forensic Psychology (AAFP) has assembled approximately 140 of the most recent and/or influential decisions listed below, as well as the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and of Evidence, onto a CD-ROM. This compendium of cases is available for purchase at www.prpress.com.

Forensic Psychology – Fundamental Readings

Note: recommended readings in this section are applicable across practice sample content areas.

A. Forensic Psychology, Historical Foundation

Heilbrun, K., Grisso, T., & Goldstein, A. (2008). *Foundations of forensic mental health assessment*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Melton, G. B., Petrila, J., Poythress, N. G., Slobogin, C., Lyons, P. M., & Otto, R. K. (2007). Law and the mental health professions: An uneasy alliance. *Psychological evaluations for the courts: A handbook for mental health professionals and lawyers* (3rd ed., pp. 3-25). New York, NY: Guilford Press. **(Hereinafter cited as Melton et al. (2007), chapter number, chapter name, and applicable page numbers)**

Otto, R., & Goldstein, A. (2013). Overview of forensic psychology. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 3-15). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Packer, I. K., & Grisso, T. (2011). *Specialty competencies in forensic psychology*. Chapters 1, 2, & 3. New York: Oxford University Press.

B. Ethics, Guidelines, Professional Issues and Duties

American Psychological Association. (2012). *Specialty Guidelines for Forensic Psychology*. Available online at www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx

American Psychological Association. (2010). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. Available online at www.apa.org/ethics/code/index.aspx

American Psychological Association. (2007). Record keeping guidelines. *American Psychologist*, 62, 993-1004. Available online at www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx

Bush, S. S., Connell, M. A., & Denney, R. L. (2006). *Ethical practice in forensic psychology: A systematic model for decision making*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Committee on Legal Issues, American Psychological Association. (2006). Strategies for private practitioners coping with subpoenas or compelled testimony for client records or test data. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 37, 215-222.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 4: Constitutional, common-law, and ethical contours of the evaluation process: The mental health professional as double agent (pp. 86-99).

Packer, I. K., & Grisso, T. (2011). *Specialty competencies in forensic psychology*. Chapter 7: Ethics (pp. 119-137). New York: Oxford University Press.

Martindale, D., & Gould, J. (2013). Ethics in forensic practice. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 37-61). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

Commonwealth v. Kobrin, 395 Mass 1004, 479 N.E.2d 674 (1985)

Clites v. Iowa, 322 N.W. 2d 917 (Iowa Ct. App. 1982)

Doe v. Roe, 400 N.Y.Supp.2d 668 (1977)

Jaffee v. Redmond, 116 S.Ct. 1923 (1996)

In Re Lifschutz, 2 Cal. 3d 415, 467 P.2d 557 (1970)

Menendez v. Superior Court, 834 P.2d 786 (1992)

C. Law, Precedents, Court Rules, Civil and Criminal Procedure

Faust, D. (2011). *Coping with Psychiatric and Psychological Testimony*. Section II (6th ed., pp. 13-116). New York: Oxford University Press.

Faust, D., Grimm, P. W., Ahern, D. C., & Sokolik, M. (2010). The admissibility of behavioral science evidence in the courtroom: The translation of legal to scientific concepts and back. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 49-77.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (especially 26 and 35) and of Evidence (especially 401-403, 501, 701-705, 801-806).

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 2: An overview of the legal system: Sources of law, the court system, and the adjudicative process (pp. 26-40).

Landmark Cases

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 516 U.S. 869 (1993)

Frye v. U.S., 295 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923)

General Electric Co. v. Joiner, 522 U.S. 136 (1997)

Jenkins v. U.S., 307 F.2d. 637 (D.C. Cir. 1961)*

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999)

D. Testing and Assessment, Judgment and Bias, Examination Issues

American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Research in Education. (1999). *Standards for educational and psychological testing* (2nd ed). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association. (3rd edition of this text is expected in 2014)

DeJesus-Zayas, S. R., Buigas, R., & Denney, R. L. (2011). Evaluation of culturally diverse populations. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with Psychiatric and Psychological Testimony* (6th ed., pp. 248-265). New York: Oxford University Press.

Faust, D. (2011). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony*. Section IV (6th ed., pp. 281-510). New York: Oxford University Press.

Faust, D., & Ahern, D. C. (2011). Clinical judgment and prediction. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony* (6th ed., pp. 147-208). New York: Oxford University Press.

Frederick, R. (2011). Malingering, cooperation, effort. In D. Faust (Ed.). *Coping with psychiatric and psychological testimony* (6th ed., pp. 229-247). New York: Oxford University Press.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 3: The nature and method of forensic assessment (pp. 43-67).

Otto, R. K., Slobogin, C., & Greenberg, S. A. (2007). Legal and ethical issues in accessing and utilizing third-party information. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.). *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 190-205). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons.

Rogers, R., & Bender, S. D. (2013). Evaluation of malingering and related response styles. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 517-540). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Selection of additional readings should be individualized based on the practice sample test battery and content area. Candidates should be prepared to discuss the empirical basis of their test selection. They should be familiar with the Forensic Assessment Instruments relevant to their content area, as well as the Clinical Assessment Instruments most often relevant to evaluations in their content area. Recommended readings are the major texts, manuals, and recent review articles for all tests used.

Landmark Cases

Griggs v. Duke Power Co., 401 U.S. 424 (1971)
Hall v. Florida, 472 U.S. _ (2014)*
United States v. Greer, 158 F.3d 228 (1998)

E. Communicating Forensic Opinions - Expert Testimony and Report Writing

Bank, S. C., & Packer, I. K. (2007). Expert witness testimony: Law, ethics, and practice. In A.M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 421-446). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

DeMier, R. L. (2013). Forensic report writing. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 75-98). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Erickson, S. K., & Ewing, C. P. (2013). Legal contours of expert testimony. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 62-74). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Grisso, T. (2010). Guidance for improving forensic reports. *Open Access Journal for Forensic Psychology*, 2, 102-115.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 18: Communication, report writing, and expert testimony (pp. 577-605).

Otto, R.K., DeMier, R. L., and Boccaccini, M. T. (2014). *Forensic Reports and Testimony: A Guide to Effective Communication for Psychologists and Psychiatrists*. New York: Wiley.

Witt, P. (2010). Forensic report checklist. *Open Access Journal for Forensic Psychology*, 2, 233-240.

Landmark Cases

Bruce v. Byrne-Stevens & Assocs. Eng'rs, 113 Wn.2d 123 (1989)
Budwin v. American Psychological Association, 29 Cal. Rptr. 2d 453 (1994)
Deatherage v. Examining Board of Psychology, 948 P.2d 828 (Wash. 1997)
Murphy v. A. A. Mathews, 841 S.W.2d 671 (Mo. 1992)
Jenkins v. U.S., 307 F.2d. 637 (D.C. Cir. 1961)*

Applications to Criminal Proceedings

A. Competence to Stand Trial

General Area Readings

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 6: Competence to stand trial (pp. 125-164).

Zapf, P. A., & Roesch, R. (2009). *Evaluation of competence to stand trial*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

Fogel, M. H., Schiffman, W., Mumley, D., Tillbrook, C., & Grisso, T. (2013). Ten year research update (2001-2010): Evaluations for competence to stand trial (adjudicative competence). *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*. Advance online publication. doi: 10.1002/bsl.2051

Frederick, R. I., DeMier, R. L., Smith, M. S., & Towers, K. D. (2014). *Examinations of competency to stand trial: Foundations in mental health case law* (2nd ed.). Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Grisso, T. (2014). *Competence to stand trial evaluations: Just the basics*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Grisso, T. (2003). *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed.). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Poythress, N. G., Bonnie, R. J., Monahan, J., Otto, R., & Hoge, S. (2002). *Adjudicative competence: The MacArthur studies*. New York: Kluwer/Plenum.

Landmark Cases

Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985)*
Cooper v. Oklahoma, 517 U.S. 348 (1996)
Drope v. Missouri, 420 U.S. 162 (1975)
Dusky v. U.S., 362 U.S. 402 (1960)
Estelle v. Smith, 451 U.S. 454 (1981)*
Jackson v. Indiana, 406 U.S. 715 (1972)
Medina v. California, 505 U.S. 1244 (1992)
Pate v. Robinson, 383 U.S. 375 (1966)
Riggins v. Nevada, 524 U.S. 127 (1992)
Seiling v. Eyman, 478 F.2d 211 (9th Cir. 1973)
Sell v. United States, 539 U.S. 166 (2003)
U.S. v. Duhon, 104 F. Supp. 2d 663 (W.D.La, 2000)
Wilson v. U.S., 391 F.2d 460 (D.C. Cir. 1968)

B. Other Criminal Competencies (e.g., to waive rights/be sentenced/proceed pro se)

General Area Readings:

Grisso, T. (2003). Advances in assessments for legal competencies. In *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed., pp. 1-20). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Grisso, T. (2003). Empirical assessments for legal competencies. In *Evaluating competencies: Forensic assessments and instruments* (2nd ed., pp. 41-65). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 165-200).

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 9: Sentencing (pp. 269-320).

Focus Area Readings

- DeClue, G. (2005). *Interrogations and disputed confessions: A manual for forensic psychological practice*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.
- Drogin, E. Y. (2007). Postconviction assessment. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 385-417). New York: Wiley.
- Goldstein, A. M., & Goldstein, N. (2010). *Evaluating capacity to waive Miranda rights*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Krauss, D. A., & Goldstein, A. M. (2007). The role of forensic mental health experts in federal sentencing proceedings. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 359-384). New York: Wiley.
- Rogers, R., & Shuman D. W. (2005). *Fundamentals of forensic practice: Mental health and criminal law*. Chapter 5: *Miranda* and beyond: Competencies related to police investigations (pp.113-150). New York, NY: Springer Publishing.

Landmark Cases

- Berghuis v. Thompkins, 130 S. Ct. 2250 (2010)
- Brown v. Mississippi, 297 U.S. 278 (1936)
- Colorado v. Connelly, 497 U.S. 157 (1986)
- Crane v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 683 (1986)
- Dickerson v. United States, 530 U.S. 428 (2000)
- Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 (1964)
- Fare v. Michael C., 442 U.S. 707 (1979)*
- Faretta v. California, 422 U.S. 806 (1975)
- Fellers v. United States, 540 U.S. 519 (2004)
- G.J.I. v. State 778 P.2d 485 (1989)*
- Godinez v. Moran, 509 U.S. 389 (1993)
- Indiana v. Edwards, 554 U.S. 164 (2008)
- In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967)*
- J.D.B. v. North Carolina, 131 S. Ct. 2394 (2011)*
- Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966)
- North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970)
- U.S. v. Marble, 940 F.2d 1543 (D.C. Dir. 1991)
- Whalem v. U.S., 346 F2d 812 (1965)

C. Criminal Responsibility (including Diminished Capacity and *Mens Rea* Defenses)

General Area Readings

- Goldstein, A. M., Morse, S. J., & Packer, I. K. (2013). Evaluation of Criminal Responsibility. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 440-472). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 8: Mental state at the time of the offense (pp. 201-268).
- Morse, S. J. (2007). The non-problem of free will in forensic psychiatry and psychology. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 27, 203-220
- Packer, I. K. (2009). *Evaluation of criminal responsibility*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Readings

American Law Institute, Model Penal Code §4.01 (1962)

Clark, C. R. (2006). Specific intent and diminished capacity (pp. 364-391). In A. K. Hess & I. B. Weiner (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

Frederick, R. I., Mrad, D. F., & DeMier, R. L. (2007). *Examinations of criminal responsibility: Foundations in mental health law*. Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Insanity Defense Reform Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. §17 (1984)

Morse, S. J. (1979). Diminished capacity: A moral and legal conundrum. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 2, 271-298.

Slobogin, C. (2006). *Proving the unprovable: The role of law, science and speculation in adjudicating culpability and dangerousness*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

Ake v. Oklahoma, 470 U.S. 68 (1985)*

Clark v. Arizona, 548 U.S. 735 (2006)

Durham v. U.S., 214 F.2d 862 (D.C. Cir. 1954)

Edney v. Smith, 425 F. Supp. 1038 (1976)

Foucha v. Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71 (1992)*

Frendak v. U.S., 408 A.2d 364 (D.C. Cir. 1979)

Ibn-Tamas v. U.S., 407 A.2d 626 (D.C. Cir. 1979)

Jones v. U.S., 463 U.S. 354 (1983)*

Kansas v. Cheever 571 U.S. _ (2013)

McDonald v. U.S., 312 F.2d 847 (1962)

Montana v. Egelhoff, 518 U.S. 37 (1996)

M'Naghten's Case, 10 Cl.&F. 200, 8 Eng. Rep. 718 (H.L. 1843)

People v. Patterson, 39 N.Y.2d 288, 347 N.E.2d 898 (1976)

People v. Saille, 820 P.2d 588 (1991)

Powell v. Texas, 492 U.S. 689 (1989)

Rex v. Arnold (1724)

U.S. v. Brawner, 471 F.2d 969 (D.C. Cir. 1972)

Washington v. U.S., 129 U.S. App. D.C. 29, 390 F.2d 444 (1967)

D. Death Penalty

General Area Readings

Cunningham, M. (2010). *Evaluation for capital sentencing*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Cunningham, M. D., & Goldstein, A. M. (2013). Sentencing determinations in death penalty cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 473-514). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 193-199).

Focus Area Readings

DeMatteo, D., Murrie, D. C., Anumba, N. M., & Keesler, M. E. (2011). *Forensic mental health assessments in death penalty cases*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Hagen, L., Drogin, E., & Guilmette, T. (2010). IQ scores should not be adjusted for the Flynn Effect in capital punishment cases. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 41(5), 420-423.

Rogers, R., & Shuman, D. W. (2005). *Fundamentals of forensic practice: Mental health and criminal law*. Chapter 10: Competency to be executed and other post-conviction relief issues (pp. 311-334). New York, NY: Springer Publishing.

Landmark Cases

Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002)
Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880 (1983)*
Buchanan v. Kentucky, 483 U.S. 402 (1987)
Eddings v. Oklahoma, 436 U.S. 921 (1978)
Estelle v. Smith, 451 U.S. 454 (1981)*
Ford v. Wainwright, 477 U.S. 399 (1986)
Furman v. Georgia, 408 U.S. 238 (1972)
Gregg v. Georgia, 428 U.S. 153 (1976)
Hall v. Florida, 472 U.S. __ (2014)*
Kansas v. Cheever, 571 U.S. __ (2013)
Lockett v. Ohio, 438 U.S. 586, 604 (1978)
Moore v. Texas, 581 U.S. __ (2017)
Panetti v. Quarterman, 127 S.Ct. 2842 (2007)
Payne v. Tennessee, 501 U.S. 808 (1991)
Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)*
Singleton v. Norris, 319 F.3d 1018 (8th Cir. 2003)
Skipper v. S. Carolina, 476 U.S. 1 (1986)
State v. Perry, 610 So.2d 746 (La. 1992)

E. Violence Risk Assessment and Quasi-Criminal Commitment

General Area Readings

Conroy, M. A., & Murrie, D. C. (2007). *Forensic assessment of violence risk: A guide for risk assessment and risk management*. New York: Wiley.

Monahan, J. (2013). Violence risk assessment. In R. K. Otto (Ed.). *Forensic psychology* (pp. 541-555). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Heilbrun, K. (2009). *Evaluation for risk of violence in adults*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Monahan et al. (2001). *Rethinking risk assessment: The MacArthur study of mental disorder and violence*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Otto, R. K., & Douglas, K. S. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of violence risk assessment*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

Swanson, J. W., Holzer, C. E., III, Ganju, V. K., & Jono, R. T. (1990). Violence and psychiatric disorder in the community: evidence from the Epidemiologic Catchment Area surveys. *Hospital & Community Psychiatry*, 41(7), 761-770.

Witt, P., & Conroy, M. A. (2008). *Evaluation of sexually violent predators*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

Coble v. Texas, 330 S.W.3d 254 (2010)

Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880 (1983)*

Kansas v. Crane, 534 U.S. 407 (2002)

Kansas v. Hendricks, 521 U.S. 346 (1997)

Lipari v. Sears Roebuck, 497 F.Supp. 185 (1980)

Brady v. Hopper, 570 F.Supp. 1333 (1983)

Hedlund v. Sup. Court of Orange County, 669 P.2d. 41 (1983)

Jablonski v. U.S., 712 F.2d 391 (9th Cir. 1983)

Tarasoff v. Board of Regents of the Univ. of California, 17 Cal. 3d 415, 551 P.2d 334, 131 Cal. Rptr. 14 (1976)

Applications to Civil Proceedings

A. Civil Commitment & Civil Competencies

(See also section entitled, "Violence Risk Assessment and Quasi-Criminal Commitment")

General Area Reading

Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2013). Civil competencies. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 295-307). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 10: Civil commitment (pp. 297-335).

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 11: Civil competencies (pp. 337-362).

Pinals, D., & Mossman, D. (2011). *Evaluation for civil commitment*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Reading

American Bar Association Commission on Law and Aging, & the American Psychological Association. (2008). *Assessment of older adults with diminished capacity: A handbook for psychologists*. Washington, DC: American Bar Association and American Psychological Association. Available online at www.apa.org/pi/aging/programs/assessment/capacity-psychologist-handbook.pdf

Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2010). *Evaluation for guardianship*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Kim, S. Y. (2010). *Evaluation of capacity to consent to treatment and research*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Lareau, C. (2013). Civil commitment and involuntary hospitalization of the mentally ill. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 308-331). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Moye, J., Karel, M. J., & Armesto, J. C. (2007). Evaluating capacity to consent to treatment. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 260-293). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Mrad, D. F., & Nabors, E. (2007). The role of the psychologist in civil commitment. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 232-259). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

Addington v. Texas, 441 U.S. 418 (1979)
Baxstrom v. Herold, 383 U.S. 107 (1966)*
Caesar v. Mountanos, 542 F. 2d 1064 (9th Cir. 1976)
Canterbury v. Spence, 464 F.2d 772 (1972)
Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976)*
Foucha v. Louisiana, 504 U.S. 71 (1992)*
Heller v. Doe, 509 U.S. 312 (1993)
Jones v. U.S., 463 U.S. 354 (1983)*
Lake v. Cameron, 364 F.2d 657 (1966)
Lessard v. Schmidt, 349 F.Supp. 1078 (E.D. Wis. 1972)
O'Connor v. Donaldson, 422 U.S. 563 (1975)
Parham v. JR, 442 U.S. 584 (1979)
Rennie v. Klein, 653 F.2d 836 (3rd Cir. 1981)
Rennie v. Klein, 720 F.2d 266 (1983)
Rogers v. Okin, 643 F.2d 650 (1980)
Youngberg v. Romeo, 457 U.S. 307 (1982)
U.S. v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739 (1987)
Washington v. Harper, 494 U.S. 210 (1990)
Wyatt v. Stickney, 344 F. Supp. 387 (M.D. Ala. 1972)
Zinermon v. Burch, 494 U.S. 113 (1990)

B. Personal Injury and Civil Damages

General Area Reading

Foote, W. E., & Lareau, C. R. (2013). Psychological evaluation of emotional damages in tort cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 172-200). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Kane, A. W., & Dvoskin, J. A. (2011). *Evaluation for personal injury claims*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Focus Area Reading

Koch, W. J., Douglas, K. S., Nicholls, T. L., & O'Neill, M. L. (2006). *Psychological injuries: Forensic assessment, treatment, and law*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Young, G., Kane, A. W., & Nicholson, K. (2007). *Causality of psychological injury: Presenting evidence in court*. New York, NY: Springer.

Landmark Cases

Bragdon v. Abbott, 524 U.S. 624 (1998)
Carter v. General Motors, 106 N.W.2d 105 (1960)
Christie Bros. Circus v. Turnage, 144 S.E. 680 (Ga. App. 1928)
Dillon v. Legg, 441 P.2d 912 (1968)
Gough v. Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, 996 F.2d 763 (5th Cir. 1993)

Molien v. Kaiser Foundation Hospital, 616 P.2d 813 (1980)
Molzof v. United States, 911 F.2d, 18 (7th Cir. 1990), *rev'd*, 112 S.Ct. 711 (1992)
Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R., 162 N.E. 99 (N.Y. 1928)
Plaisance v. Texaco, Inc., 735 F.Supp. 686 (E.D.La.1990), 937 F.2d 1004 (5th Cir. 1991)
TXO Products v. Alliance Resources, 509 U.S. 443 (1993)
Waube v. Warrington, 258 N.W. 497 (1935)

C. Worker's Compensation/Disability Evaluation

General Area Readings

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 12: Compensating mental injury: Workman's compensation and torts (pp. 401-423).

Vore, D. A. (2007). The disability psychological independent medical evaluation. In A. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 489–510). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Piechowski, L. (2013). Disability and worker's compensation. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 201-224). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Reading

Corey, D., & Borum, R. (2013). Forensic assessment for high-risk occupations. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 246-270). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.*

Gold, L. H., & Shuman, D. W. (2009). *Evaluating mental health disability in the workplace*. New York: Springer.

Piechowski, L. D. (2011). *Evaluation of workplace disability*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Piechowski, L. D., & Drukteinis, A. M. (2011). Fitness for duty evaluations. In E. Y. Drogin & T. Gutheil (Eds.), *Handbook of Forensic Assessment* (pp. 571-591). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

Black & Decker Disability Plan v. Nord, 538 U.S. 822 (2003)
Damascus v. Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company, 935 F. Supp. 885 (N.D. Cal. 1996)
Ervin v. American Guardian Life Assurance Company, 545 A.2d 354 (Pa. Super. 1988)
Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Ouellette, 159 Vt. 187, 617 A. 2d 132 (1992)
Ryans v. Lowell, 484 A.2d 1253 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 1984)

D. Discrimination (ADA) & Educational Access (IDEA)

General Area Readings

Foote, W. E. (2013). Forensic evaluation in Americans with Disabilities Act cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 271-294). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 13: Federal antidiscrimination and entitlement laws (pp. 383-412).

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 17: Education and habilitation (pp. 564-574).

Focus Area Readings

- Goodman-DeLaHunty, J., & Foote, W. E. (2011). *Evaluation for workplace discrimination and harassment*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Baker, N. L., Vasquez, M. J. T., & Shullman, S. L. (2013). Assessing employment discrimination and harassment. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 224-245). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Piechowski, L. D., & Rehman, U. (2011). Evaluations in Americans with Disabilities Act cases. In E. Y. Drogin & T. Gutheil (Eds.), *Handbook of Forensic Assessment*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.

Landmark Cases

- Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth, 524 U.S. 742 (1998)
- Ellison v. Brady, 924 F.2d 872 (9th Cir. 1991)
- Harris v. Forklift Systems, 510 U.S. 17 (1993)
- Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson, 477 U.S. 57 (1986)
- Olmstead v. L.C. ex rel Zimring, 527 U.S. 581 (1999)
- Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Services, Inc., 523 U.S. 75 (1998)
- Ricci v. DeStefano, 129 S. Ct. 2658 (2009)

Applications to Special Populations: Juvenile/Child

A. Child Custody and Parenting

General Area Readings

- Gould, J. W., & Martindale, D. A. (2013). Child custody evaluations: Current literature and practical applications. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 101-138). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 16: Child custody in divorce (pp. 539-562).

Focus Area Readings

- American Psychological Association. (2011). Guidelines for psychological evaluations in child protection matters. *American Psychologist*. Available online at www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx*
- American Psychological Association. (2010). Guidelines for child custody evaluations in family law proceedings. *American Psychologist*, 65, 863–867. Available online www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx
- American Psychological Association. (2012). Guidelines for the practice of parenting coordination. *American Psychologist*, 67, 63-71. Available online at www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx
- Gould, J. W., & Martindale, D. A. (2007). *The art and science of child custody evaluations*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Fuhrmann, G. S. W., & Zibbell, R. A. (2012). *Evaluation for child custody*. New York: Oxford.

Tippins, T. M., & Wittman, J. P. (2005). Empirical and ethical problems with custody recommendations: A call for clinical humility and judicial vigilance. *Family Court Review*, 43, 193–22.

Landmark Cases

Baltimore City Department of Social Services v. Bouknight, 493 U.S. 549 (1990)
Chapsky v. Wood, 26 Kan. 650, 42 (1881)
DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services, 489 U.S. 189 (1989)*
Idaho v. Wright, 497 U.S. 805 (1990)
Lassiter v. Department of Social Services, 452 U.S. 18 (1981)
Loving v. Virginia, 388 U.S. 1, 87 S. Ct. 1817 (1967)
Maryland v. Craig, 497 U.S. 836 (1990)
Painter v. Bannister, 358 Iowa 1390, 140 N.W. 2d. 152 (1966)
Palmore v. Sidoti, 466 U.S. 429 (1984)
Pennsylvania v. Richie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987)
Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1925)
Prince v. Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158 (1944)
Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)*
Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000)
Tuter v. Tuter, 120 S.W.2d. 203 (1938)
White v. Illinois, 502 U.S. 346 (1992)*

B. Child Abuse/Neglect

General Area Readings:

Condie, L. O. & Condie, D. (2007). Termination of parental rights. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 294-330). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Budd, K. S., Connell, M., & Clark, J. R. (2013). Assessment in a child protection context. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 139-171). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 15: Child abuse and neglect (pp. 441-482).

Focus Area Readings

American Psychological Association. (2011). Guidelines for psychological evaluations in child protection matters. *American Psychologist*. Available online at www.apapracticecentral.org/ce/guidelines/index.aspx*

Budd, K. S., Clark, J., & Connell, M. (2011). *Evaluating parenting capacity in child protection*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Kuehle, K., & Connell, M. (2013). Child sexual abuse evaluations. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 579-614). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Kuehnle, K., & Connell, M. (Eds.). (2009). *The evaluation of child sexual abuse allegations: A comprehensive guide to assessment and testimony*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons

Lamb, M. A., Hershkowitz, I., Orbach, Y., & Esplin, P. W. (2010). *Tell me what happened: Structured investigative interviews of child victims and witnesses*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

London, K., Bruck, M., Wright, D. B., & Ceci, S. J. (2008). Review of the contemporary literature on how children report sexual abuse to others: Findings, methodological issues, and implications for forensic interviewers. *Memory, 16*, 29-47.

Landmark Cases

DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services, 489 U.S. 189 (1989)*
Dupuy v. Samuels, 397 F. 3d 493 (7th Cir. 2005)
Kentucky v. Stincer, 482 U.S. 730 (1987)
Landeros v. Flood, 17 Cal. 3d 399, 551 P.2d 389 (1976)
People v. Stritzinger, 34 Cal. 3d 505, 668 P.2d 738 (1983)
Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)*
State v. Andring, 342 N.W.2d 128 (Minn. 1984)

C. Juvenile Justice

General Area Readings

Grisso, T., & Romaine, C. R. (2013). Forensic evaluation in delinquency cases. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 359-380). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Borum, R., & Grisso, T. (2007). Developmental considerations for forensic assessment in delinquency cases. In A.M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 553-570). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Borum, R., & Verhagen, D. (2006). *Assessing and managing violence risk in juveniles*. New York: Guilford Press.

Grisso, T. (2013). *Forensic evaluation of juveniles* (2nd ed.). Sarasota, FL: Professional Resource Press.

Heilbrun, K., Goldstein, N., & Redding, R. (2005). *Juvenile delinquency: Prevention, assessment and intervention*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hoge, R., & Andrews, D. A. (2010). *Evaluation for violence risk in juveniles*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Kruh, I., & Grisso, T. (2008). *Evaluation of juveniles' competence to stand trial*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Otto, R. K., & Goldstein, A. M. (2005). Juveniles' competence to confess and competence to participate in the juvenile justice process. In K. Heilbrun, N. E. S. Goldstein, & R. E. Redding (Eds.), *Juvenile delinquency: Prevention, assessment, and intervention* (pp. 179-208). New York: Oxford University Press.

Ryan, E. P., Hunter, J. A., & Murrie, D. C. (2012). *Juvenile sex offenders: A guide to evaluation and treatment for mental health professionals*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

Breed v. Jones, 421 U.S. 519 (1975)
Fare v. Michael C., 442 U.S. 707 (1979)*
G.J.I. v. State 778 P.2d 485 (1989)*
Graham v. Florida, 130 S. Ct. 2011 (2010)
In re Gault, 387 U.S. 1 (1967)*
In re Winship, 397 U.S. 358 (1970)

J.D.B. v. North Carolina, 131 S. Ct. 2394 (2011)*
Kent v. United States, 383 U.S. 541 (1966)
McKeiver v. Pennsylvania, 403 U.S. 528 (1971)
Miller v. Alabama, 132 S.Ct. 2455 (2012)*
Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)*
Schall v. Martin, 467 U.S. 253 (1984)
Thompson v. Oklahoma, 487 U.S. 815 (1988)

Other Forensic Topics

A. Police Psychology

General Area Readings

Corey, C. M., & Borum, R. (2013). Forensic assessment for high-risk occupations. In R. K. (Ed.), *Forensic psychology*. Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 246-270). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.*

Scrivner, E. (2006). Psychology and law enforcement (pp. 534-551). In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

B. Juries

General Area Readings

Kovera, M. B. (2013). Voir dire and jury selection. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 630-647). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Drogin, E. Y., & Barrett, C. L. (2013). Trial Consultation. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 648-664). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

Feigenson, N. (2001). *Legal blame: How jurors think and talk about accidents*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Findley, J. D., & Sales, B. D. (2012). *The science of attorney advocacy: How courtroom behavior affects jury decision making*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Greene, E., & Bornstein, B. H. (2003). *Determining damages: The psychology of jury awards*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Groscup, J., & Tallon, J. (2009). Theoretical models of jury decision-making. In J. D. Lieberman & D. A. Krauss (Eds.), *Jury psychology: Social aspects of trial processes: Psychology in the courtroom* (Vol. 1, pp. 41-65). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing Co.

Lieberman, J. D., & Olson, J. (2009). The psychology of jury selection. In J. D. Lieberman & D. A. Krauss (Eds.), *Jury psychology: Social aspects of trial processes: Psychology in the courtroom* (Vol. 1, pp. 97-128.). Burlington, VT: Ashgate Publishing Co.

C. Witness challenges

General Area Readings

- Cutler, B., & Kovera, M. B. (2010). *Evaluating eyewitness evidence*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 7: Other competencies in the criminal process (pp. 179-191).*
- Schefflin, A. W. (2006). Forensic use of hypnosis. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 589-630). New York: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)
- Wells, G. L., & Loftus, E. F. (2013). Eyewitness memory for people and events. In R. K. Otto (Ed.), *Forensic psychology* (pp. 617-629). Vol. 11 in I. B. Weiner (Editor-in-Chief). *Handbook of psychology* (2nd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

Focus Area Readings

- Bruck, M., & Ceci, S. J. (2012). Forensic developmental psychology in the courtroom. In D. Faust (Ed.), *Coping with psychological and psychiatric testimony* (6th ed., pp. 723-736). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Ceci, S. J., & Bruck, M. (1995). *Jeopardy in the courtroom*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Poole, D. A., & Lamb, M. E. (1998). *Investigative interviews of children*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.
- Yuille, J. C., & Cooper, B. S. (2012). Challenging the eyewitness expert. In D. Faust (Ed.), *Coping with psychological and psychiatric testimony* (6th ed., pp. 685-695). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Landmark Cases

- Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004)
- Neil v. Biggers, 409 U.S. 188 (1972)
- People v. Shirley, 723 P.2d 1354 (1982)
- Perry v. New Hampshire, 132 S.Ct. 716 (2012)
- State v. Henderson, 27 A.3d 872 (N.J. 2011)
- State v. Hurd, 414 A.2d 291 (1980)
- Rock v. Arkansas, 483 U.S. 44 (1987)
- White v. Illinois, 502 U.S. 346 (1992)*

D. Treatment in Forensic Contexts

General Area Readings

- Dvoskin, J. A., Spiers, E. M., & Brodsky, S. L. (2007). Correctional psychology: Law, ethics, and practice. In A. M. Goldstein (Ed.), *Forensic psychology: Emerging topics and expanding roles* (pp. 605-632). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- International Association for Correctional and Forensic Psychology (2010). Standards for psychology services in jails, prisons, correctional facilities, and agencies (Third Edition). *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 37(7), 749-808.

Mobley, M. J. (2006). Psychotherapy with criminal offenders. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 751-789). New York, NY: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

Focus Area Readings

Dvoskin, J. A., Skeem, J. L., Novaco, R. W., & Douglas, K. S. (2012). What if psychology redesigned the criminal justice system? In J. A. Dvoskin, J. L. Skeem, R. W. Novaco, & K. S. Douglas (Eds.), *Using social science to reduce violent offending* (pp. 291-302). New York: Oxford University Press.

Marshall, W. L. (2006). Diagnosis and treatment of sex offenders. In I. B. Weiner & A. K. Hess (Eds.), *The handbook of forensic psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 790-820). New York: Wiley. (4th edition of this text is in preparation)

Melton et al. (2007). Chapter 5: Managing public and private forensic services (pp. 101-122).

Salekin, R. T., Worley, C., & Grimes, R. D. (2010). Treatment of psychopathy: A review and brief introduction to the mental model approach for psychopathy. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 28(2), 235-266.

Landmark Cases

Baxstrom v. Herold, 383 U.S. 107 (1966) *

Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976)*

Vitek v. Jones, 445 U.S. 480, 100 S.Ct. 1254 (1980)

Farmer v. Brennan, 114 S.Ct. 1970 (1994)